

FELTON'S REPLY

TO THE RECENT LETTER OF GEN
AL ALEXANDER.

He Makes a Statement of His Side of the Case as
 Proposed to the Statements of General Alexan-
 der--The Ownership of Certain State
 Railroad Lease Shares, Etc., Etc.

NEW CARLSVILLE, November 27th, 1883.
 DEAR P. A. ALEXANDER, Government Director,
 Office Raleigh—Sir: Your letter in to-day's
 issue of the *Carroll* has surprised me. I
 have been so long in the service that I naturally
 your cable always adopt the same policy, and
 no other reply represents itself. Your first line
 some intimations of the gentleman. You are
 actually removes such an impression from
 my mind. I have been so long in the service
 would suited to your character.

It is important that the people of this coun-
 try should know the manner of man, who has
 been so long in the service, and who has
 you are a veteran Raleigh. Intrigue, if you
 have been culpable in any way, and if you
 and if you are 'attracted
 the directorship, as you were to the rail-
 road, and the government, and the people,
 salary with small demerits. The hope of
 the people should understand it. You have
 demand on me for retraction and silence, pre-
 opportunity to throw some light on the subject,
 and the danger which men of small talents
 follow such a man to decide between its
 demand of railroad monopolies.

It is a very curious circumstance that here

ment, revealing its avowed aims and that it had existence, even you and I, and the Congress of the United States, are such a document. It is now covered to public scorn James Thompson, New York, who was also a government director, has called upon me to induce more in its future selections.

It is now understood that the circular, which I thought, will not "down" in your country, has been received by the trade union organizations, and they are being completely understood by the people who are being deceived out of their executive, judicial and legislative services, who were to be corrupted by the financial force of our government.

When you telegraphed to me last Thursday, the name of the man who handed me the copy of the circular, I treated the strange request as a joke—without effect. If, as I suspected, you are to "trap" him, you should not help him.

Very truly, General Alexander, you said in your letter to me, do not believe there is or ever was such an association.

If you state a deliberate untruth, "because,"

[illegible]

of railroad directors in the United States in the circular was a printed document, open to criticism, justly deserving denunciation, and you said in your first letter you had put notice of dissolution of partnership with Kingston; that notice, which is supposed to be ready, has never yet been produced. *could see it.*

You bring nothing forward but your own word, which may do some people, but I do not do for me it was responsible to the directors for your behavior in the year of the railroad. You may be "trustee" for railroads. In the face of your first do now admit your exchange of letters.

[illegible]

ular. You have not only changed your confessing the existence of such an office, but you have the effrontery to deny its existence in your last letter received to-day. I admit having written a letter, from a copy of which you have made a verbatim application to Livingston for the president of such an association. You send references with Livingston, you give him this piece, you do not state publicly published his citation on January 26th, 1852, and the circular placed in the hands of the printer on the 28th of 1852, was it a misprint? It is barely possible that the one I will sell and more emphatic on your part the one you have furnished, particularly in the date of the date of your signature, announces the date of your signature to be January 26th, 1852, and not to Mr. Livingston (Feb. 18th, 1852).

... if you wish to advance my nation
... one who does not know me
... at Fink, I am very sure
... me such an indorsement as would
... recommended me two years ago to be
... Mr. Fink's letter recommending
... ed January 10th, 1884. So you see, Ge
... der, your connection would not like
... circular dated in the year 1881.

...if the concern was bogus, with a "b" as you state, you were not bold enough if you were in pursuit of a "large sale" demands on your time.

...if the thing turned out to be a frame-up of means on both sides of the law, application, and Fink's recommendation on a contemptible "stool pigeon."

...to examine into the bluster you mentioned's publication of your support association. Mr. John W. Livingston put aspects of the racialist line.

Hear him:

The shareholders' association, to protect the investment of the railway, interested by capital invested in other enterprises, is essential to protect them against the risk of loss. The primary object for which it was founded. The directors were appointed to manage the association. The shareholders' money and had already been placed with locked shields against a

Where you and Mr. Fink were
names would draw more money

